

ice pack of both poles via your uniformitarian evolutionary theory!) The thousands of frozen mammoths found under the ice packs of Siberia and the Yukon, the thousands of dinosaur fossils and jungle animals found together in the mountain ranges of Antarctica do not support any theory of evolution, they absolutely confound it. But they do support a cataclysmic world flood that the evolutionists vehemently deny. Every known culture of humans has accounts of this world flood, it is not scientific to throw out all of that because it does not fit your current godless theory, in fact it is unscientific. The immense pockets of oil in this world testify to millions of decayed animals buried in one catastrophic event, not to millions of years, that's why we call them fossil fuels!

The Atlantic Ridge gives evidence of the foundation of the earth being broken up like the Bible says, not of millions of years of uniformitarianism as speculated by godless evolutionists. The Grand Canyon's formation in a few weeks of massive erosion from a huge trapped inner lake running off as the waters 'abated' after a world flood is very believable. Such has been demonstrated innumerable times when natural dams burst and erode canyons today, or when Mt. St. Helens cut canyons through bedrock in only weeks. That makes far more 'scientific' sense than the godless evolutionists idea that in millions of years of the Colorado river flowing through (up hill at first) dirt, it cut through their fictitious geological column.

Today we have exposed fossilized trees that would have to grow through 300,000 of their fictitious years. That's unbelievable. That's not scientific evidence of evolution or of aging, that's balderdash. They cannot support one component of their hypothesis about the origin of life, the Miller-Urey 'scientific experiment' of 1952 was demonstrated impossible, unverifiable and fraudulent in 1960. It is still taught in our High School texts as 'scientific proof' of their hypothesis, doubtless the Methodist Rev. read it in his high school. The Darwinian cannot support one component of his hypothesis about evolution of a species of mammals coming from an amoeba that has both life and DNA, let alone from a banana, like Darwin supposed. And then to suppose that a family of rodents, slowly turned into a family of dogs, that traded paws and claws for hoofs of a horse is so much idle speculation that even an uneducated imbecile could see through it. They have no evidence at all, let alone any 'scientific evidence.'

If the age of this earth were only 2 million years and their theory of uniformitarianism were true the natural erosion of Niagara Falls would put it in Cleveland Ohio, and Lake Erie would be lush farm land. If only 2 million were true the Gulf of Mexico would be filled with Mississippi sediment and we would be bringing in potatoes not fish. All evidence demonstrates a earth that is less than 10,000 years old, unless you start with a wild hypothesis about snails slowly, slowly, slowly turning into mammals then slowly, slowly, slowly evolving into intelligent beings with Phds.

The only thing that could get an estimate close to 2 million years is their fool conjecture that we evolved here, and now they are describing a "Hadean Era" of an uninhabitable earth 4.6 BILLION years ago, and a "Archaen Era" of an inhabitable earth only 3.8 BILLION years ago. Those who would believe such rubbish exhibit a godless motivation and an immensely defective imagination. They describe two rocks rubbing together and magically producing organic material that turned into an amino acid and sparked life! ... DNA! That life somehow started to breath and it grew arms that slowly, slowly, slowly turned into wings. Balderdash! I don't reject that because I am a Fundamental Bible Believer, I don't reject that because it makes me afraid, I reject that because I am not an idiot, I can think logically; I reject that because I am an engineer and have studied the 3rd law of thermodynamics!