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THE WORD BECAME WYCLIFFE'S MIDDLE ENGLISH

John Wycliffe's English translation of the Scriptures was the commencement of God's presence in the English world and it should now have a place on your book shelf. Wycliffe (1324-1384) was historically the first to translate the Holy Scriptures into the English language. Thus he is called 'The Father of the English Bible'. In this day anyone with a computer could read Wycliffe's English Bible. Few have. Christians should. Weigh the value of such an experience with the knowledge of the cost in effort and persecution that Wycliffe paid. “And alle men that wolen lyue feithfully in Crist Jhesu, schulen suffre persecucioun.” (2Tim 3:12 Wycliffe Bible) Weigh the greater blessing he provided to dying saints who never before got to read of David's journey through the valley of the shadow of death. “For whi thouy Y schal go in the myddis of schadewe of deeth; Y schal not drede yuels, for thou art with me. Thi yerde and thi staf; tho han coumfortid me.” (Psalm 22:4 Wycliffe Bible) Weigh the great blow to Satan, the opposer of God, that this broken Middle English Bible delivered. “Be ye sobre, and wake ye, for youre aduersarie, the deuel, as a rorynge lioun goith aboute, sechinge whom he schal deouore.” (1Pet 5:8 Wycliffe Bible) The book most hated by the Roman Catholic Church and most loved by the 14th century saints of the Church that Jesus built, is this first English translation of the Holy Bible. In English, it also shows the condition of the Latin Vulgate in the 14th century, even emphasizing it's accuracies over today's Unitarian/Roman Catholic/Modernist Critical Greek text, and it shows the Latin Vulgate corruptions from the continual tampering of the Roman Catholic scribes. It is easily available for examination in your study from the web site: http://wesley.nnu.edu/biblical_studies/wycliffe. Knowing the impact of Wycliffe's Bible on saints and Satan it is a valuable heritage.
The Cost of Translating

Those influenced by the Wycliffe Bible in English soon took up the cause of preaching the gospel of Jesus Christ and were tagged by their opponents as "Bible men." Wycliffe's Bible, by reason of its clarity, beauty, and strength, influenced both the English language and the English people. This influence is aptly described in an article on the antique Bible web site, GreatSite.com:

“Wycliffe aimed to do away with the existing hierarchy and replace it with the "poor priests" who lived in poverty, were bound by no vows, had received no formal consecration, and preached the Gospel to the people. These itinerant preachers spread the teachings of Wycliffe. Two by two they went, barefoot, wearing long dark-red robes and carrying a staff in the hand, the latter having symbolic reference to their pastoral calling, and passed from place to place preaching the sovereignty of God. The bull of Gregory XI impressed upon them the name of Lollards, intended as an opprobrious epithet, but it became a name of honour. Even in Wycliffe's time the "Lollards" had reached wide circles in England and preached "God's law, without which no one could be justified."

It is always interesting to see what The Catholic Encyclopedia says about the martyrs that it hated, tortured and killed. Of Wycliffe, they confound the search by using a derivative name spelling, but their bias history says of him:

“Thus by 1380 Wyclif had set himself in open opposition to the property and government of the Church, he had attacked the pope in most unmeasured terms, he had begun to treat the Bible as the chief and almost the only test of orthodoxy, and to lay more and more stress on preaching. Yet he would have protested against an accusation of heresy. Great freedom was allowed to speculation in the schools, and there was much uncertainty about clerical property. Even the exclusive use of Scripture as a standard of faith was comprehensible at a time when the allegiance of Christendom was being claimed by two popes. It must be added that Wyclif frequently inserted qualifying or explanatory clauses in his propositions, and that, in form at least, he would declare his readiness to submit his opinions to the judgment of the Church. It seems to have been a time of much uncertainty in matters of faith, and the Lollard movement in its earlier stages is remarkable for a readiness of recantation. Wyclif's heretical position became,
however, much more pronounced when he denied the doctrine of Transubstantiation. His own position is not quite clear or consistent, but it seems to approach the Lutheran "consubstantiation", for he applied to the Blessed Eucharist his metaphysical principle that annihilation is impossible. To attack so fundamental a doctrine tended to define the position of Wyclif and his followers. Henceforth they tend to become a people apart.

The Roman Catholics spoke forthrightly about Wycliffe's “heretical position” but it was not Wycliffe's positions that inflamed their hatred. It was Wycliffe's English Bible translation that was their main irritant. The Black Death killed 50 million in England and Europe between 1351 and 1358. Coming out of that there was a peasant revolt against the money mongering, financially depleted Roman Catholics by 1380. Wycliffe was very outspoken against the monetary pursuits of Catholicism but never pursued violent means against them. Instead, Wycliffe taught the power of the written word of God to deliver the peasants from their enslaving Catholic priest craft. Wycliffe declared the authority of Scripture over that of the Church. They hated this exposure, his English Bible translation, and what he was doing to their coffers. He said of the Scriptures:

"The authority of the Holy Scriptures infinitely surpasses any writing, how authentic soever it may appear, because the authority of Jesus Christ is infinitely above that of all mankind."
"The authority of the Scriptures is independent on any other authority, and is preferable to every other writing, but especially to the books of the Church of Rome."

In the 1360s Wycliffe, a pupil, a graduate, a master, a doctor, and a professor in Oxford University, said "Friars draw children from Christ’s religion into their private Order by hypocrisy, lies and stealing." In the years following his translation of the Bible, Wycliffe became more and more outspoken against Roman Catholicism. Eventually writing "It is supposed, and

---

3 Cloud, David W., “JOHN WYCLIFFE AND THE FIRST ENGLISH BIBLE” Copyright 1996, Way of Life Literature, Oak Harbor, WA p. 2
4 Cloud, Ibid p. 2
5 Cloud, Ibid p. 3
with much probability, that the Roman pontiff is the great Antichrist.  

One must consider that those men who went through great Roman persecutions in order to provide an English Bible were of one general mind about the matter. Evangelist Bill Bradley captures well that mindset when considering those who penned their thoughts in the margins of the Geneva Bible. He says of them:

“These and other thoughts from the hearts of these great Christian men ... show us that they not only found hope for the future in the Word of God, but they also found answers for their present predicament in the sacred Scriptures. They saw in the Bible that what the church f God was experiencing, the exile, the imprisonment, the torture, the burnings, the executions, the bloodshed at the hands of the clergy and the established religion of their day, was not the temporary triumph of Satan, nor the judgment hand of God upon a rebellious and back slidden people, but the will of God, the trying and proving of God's people, allowed to happen to them by the Sovereign hand and Providence of God, and intended to strengthen their faith and resolve, and draw them into a closer, more intimate relationship with their Maker and Master.”

In 1382, in Blackfriars London, amidst falling castle walls and pinnacles of an earthquake, 47 bishops and monks condemned Wycliffe for 10 heresies and 16 errors. Wycliffe called it the “Earthquake Counsel under the judgment of God.” He died two years later seeing his books banned and burned by the Roman Catholic Church. It is amazing that 150 copies of his English Bible translation, and many of his words and works survived the scathing hatred of Roman Catholicism. Wycliffe's English Bible caused such a widespread Bible belief, and his 'Bible Men' preached with such fervency from England to Bohemia, that 41 years after his death the Roman Catholic Pope had his bones exhumed and burnt with his Bibles. It makes the reading of his Middle English Bible an act of audacity reminding one of the ugly history and hatred of Roman Catholicism. You can get a copy of his hated Bible at [http://wesley.nnu.edu](http://wesley.nnu.edu)

---

6 Cloud, Ibid, p.4
The Holy Bible, From The Latin to Middle English

The Middle English of John Wycliffe could be called Medieval English as it was the English of the period of 1100-1500. Middle English is still present and popular in America because of literary works like “The Canterbury tales” by Geoffrey Chaucer, 1400 AD, and “The vision of Piers Plowman” by William Langland, 1330?-1400? This was the English used between “the Norman invasion of 1066 and the mid-to-late 15th century, when the Chancery Standard, a form of London-based English, began to become widespread. .... The language of England as spoken after this time, up to 1650, is known as Early Modern English. The shaping of the polyglot English language cannot be fully appreciated without consideration of the rich history of the Celtic Europeans.

“At its height in 300 B.C. the Celtic realm extended all the way across Europe from today's countries of Romania and Hungary into Britain and Ireland and from Belgium south into Portugal and Spain. Its people introduced the use of iron technology to the lands north of the Alps – the vast territories called 'Celtica' by the ancient Greeks.”

Historian Kevin Duffy shows the effect that these Celts had on language development:

“The Romans introduced Latin to France's Celts, who shaped it into French. The Normans, assisted by French Celts, invaded and assumed control of Saxon England in 1066 A.D. Norman French, with its roots in a Romanized, Celtic land, enriched the English tongue and helped make it the world's major language.”

8 http://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/med/ The free online electronic Middle English Dictionary (MED) "the greatest achievement in medieval scholarship in America." Last updated 18 December 2001. © 2001, the Regents of the University of Michigan
9 http://etext.virginia.edu/collections/languages/english/mideng.browse.html
10 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Middle_English Accessed 02/02/2008
12 Duffy, Ibid p. XIV
The English language during this period was a very dynamically expanding polyglot. It did not really solidify until it was grounded with a Biblical English of the 1611 authorized version of the English Bible. The dynamics of the early English language can be further seen in this article on its history:

“Middle English (1100-1500): In 1066 William the Conqueror, the Duke of Normandy (part of modern France), invaded and conquered England. The new conquerors (called the Normans) brought with them a kind of French, which became the language of the Royal Court, and the ruling and business classes. For a period there was a kind of linguistic class division, where the lower classes spoke English and the upper classes spoke French. In the 14th century English became dominant in Britain again, but with many French words added. This language is called Middle English. It was the language of the great poet Chaucer (c1340-1400), but it would still be difficult for native English speakers to understand today.\(^\text{13}\)"

It is likely called Middle English because it fell between Old English (450-1100 AD) and Early Modern English (1500-1800) but as Wycliffe uses it for expressing Scripture truths it may there be called the middle of Middle English. There are always three dynamic levels of diversity in a language, an upper, middle and lower stratum. Middle English had this stratum in dialect as well. In this stratum of language the 'middle' ground is the common language of the average and is the most stable of the three. This division is described well by Dr. Ian Paisley as he establishes the preeminent language used for the 1611 King James Authorized Version of the Bible:

“Every living language is communicated in three levels of speech. First, there is the level of intelligentsia – the cleverest scientists, the clearest thinkers and most careful writers. We would call that the upper level. This level is remote in many ways from the habitual speech of common life.

“Second, there is the level of the least educated of our people. Their speech is rough, often incorrect grammatically, well flavoured with what is called 'slang'. It is uncouth and unkind, the language of the backstreet corner, the speech of the back street gutter snipes and the gutter press. We would call that the lowest level. Between the upper level of the first and the lowest level of the second there is a great gulf fixed. What

\(^{13}\) http://www.englishclub.com/english-language-history.htm accessed 02/19/08
is food and drink to one is poison to the other and what is poison to the one is food and
drink to the other.

“There is, however, another level. It is the language of the vast majority of the
populace. It is the language of the church, the school, the study, the home, the parlour,
the shop, the business and the press.

“We would call that the middle level. It has little to do with the peculiarities and
distinctiveness of the other two levels. It is not a slave to where a man lives his life and
does his business. What is important, however, is that those of the other two can both
meet here.”

Wycliffe's Bible translation was this middle of Middle English and it can be readily
deciphered today, especially when examining familiar Scripture portions. “For God louede so
the world, that he yaf his `oon bigetun sone, that ech man that bileueth in him perische not, but
haue euerlastynge lijf. For God sente not his sone in to the world, that he iuge the world, but
that the world be saued bi him.

He that bileueth in hym, is not demed; but he that bileueth not, is now demed, for he bileueth not
in the name of the `oon bigetun sone of God.” (John 3:16-18 Wycliffe Bible) In fact, it is so
familiar you can see Tyndale's wordings 100 years later, from which you see the Authorized
Version wordings 100 years later again. It is so easy and interesting reading that in the remaining
of this paper the Roman Catholic corruptions and then the Gnostic and Unitarian corruptions of
Westcott and Hort's Critical Greek text shall be examined from Wycliffe's Middle English
translation of the Holy Bible.

---

pp 49-50
Wycliffe's Bible Exposes Catholic Corruptions

It is of interest that Wycliffe did not translate from the original Greek, and Hebrew Scriptures, but from the Catholic Latin Vulgate of Jerome. The very first English translation of the Bible had it's profound and Godly effect on English speaking people despite the fact that it was translated from the corrupted Roman Catholic Latin version. In his book “Annals of the English Bible”, Anderson captures this wonder well:

"It was the Latin Bible, therefore, long buried in cloisters, or covered with the dust of ages, which must now be brought forth to view. Confessedly imperfect, it was of importance first to prove that it had all along contained enough for mortal man to know, in order to his eternal salvation; and once translated into any native tongue, not only will the language touch the heart, but the people at last know what that mysterious book was, from which they had been debarred, so wickedly and so long. Although, therefore, the nation was yet an hundred and fifty years distant from the English Bible, properly so called, the present should be regarded as the first preliminary step. An all-disposing foresight, far above that of any human agent, is now distinctly visible in drawing first upon that very language which had been employed for ages as the instrument of mental bondage. It shall now be made to contribute to the emancipation of the human mind"15

(Anderson, I, p. xl).

The Catholic corruptions in the Latin Vulgate were prevalent but it is amazing that the source contained so many profound truths that God loved and Catholicism hated. Dr. Gaussen puts it well in one profound sentence:

“When one thinks that the Bible has been copied during thirty centuries, as no book of man has ever been, or ever will be; that it was subjected to all the catastrophes and all the captivities of Israel; that it was transported seventy years to Babylon; that it has seen itself so often persecuted, or forgotten, or interdicted, or burnt, from the days of the Philistines to those of the Seleucidae; -when one thinks that, since the time of Jesus Christ, it has had to traverse the first three centuries of the imperial persecutions, when

15 Anderson, “Annals of the English Bible”, I, p. xl as quoted by David Cloud in www.wayoflife.org/articles/johnwycliffe.htm quoted with this preamble “Christopher Anderson, who meditated deeply upon God’s sovereignty in history, gives an interesting thought on why it was the Latin Vulgate that was first translated into the English language.”
persons found in possession of the holy books were thrown to the wild beasts; next the 7th, 8th, and 9th centuries, when false hooks, false legends, and false decretals, were everywhere multiplied; the 10th century, when so few could read, even among princes; the 12th, 13th, and 14th centuries, when the use of the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue was punished with death, and when the books of the ancient fathers were mutilated, when so many ancient traditions were garbled and falsified, even to the very acts of the emperors, and to those of the councils; - then we can perceive how necessary it was that the providence of God should have always put forth its mighty power, in order that, on the one hand, the Church of thee Jews should give us, in its integrity, that Word which records its revolts, which predicts its ruin, which describes Jesus Christ; and, on the other, that the Christian Churches (the most powerful of which, and the Roman sect in particular, interdicted the people from reading the sacred books, and substituted in so many ways the traditions of the middle ages for the Word of God) should nevertheless transmit to us, in all their purity, those Scriptures, which condemn all their traditions, their images, their dead languages, their absolution; their celibacy; which say, that Rome would be the seat of a terrible apostasy, where “the Man of Sin would be seen sitting as God in the temple of God, waging war on the saints, forbidding to marry, and to use meats which Gods hand created;” which say of images, “Thou shalt not bow down to them” - of unknown tongues, “Thou shalt not use them” - of the cup, “Drink ye all of it” - of the Virgin, “Woman, what have I to do with thee?” - and of marriage, “It is honourable in all.\textsuperscript{16}“

It is clear that corruptions were present in the source text, and these corruptions are not taken lightly. The amazing truth to unfold here is that Roman Catholicism was holding enough of God’s Scriptures to initiate the overthrow of all they were teaching. This Bible still taught that Jesus is the only mediator, “\textit{For o God and a mediatour is of God and of men, a man Crist Jhesus,}” (1Tim 2:5 Wycliffe Bible); that you should not bow to Catholic images, “\textit{Y am youre Lord God; ye schulen not make to you an ydol, and a grauun ymage, nether ye schulen reise titlis, nether ye schulen sette a noble stoon in youre lond, that ye worschipe it; for Y am youre Lord God.}” (Lev 26:1 Wycliffe Bible); that Mary did have other children, “\textit{Whether this is not a carpenter, the sone of Marie, the brother of James and of Joseph and of Judas and of Symount? whether hise sistris ben not here with vs? And thei weren sclaudrid in hym.}” (Mark 6:3 Wycliffe Bible); and that you should have no pope here on earth, “\textit{And nyle ye clepe to you a}

\textsuperscript{16}Gaussen, L, D.D., “\textit{THEOPNEUSTIA: THE PLENARY INSPIRATION OF THE HOLY SCRIPTURES}” pp 170-171
fadir on erthe, for oon is your fadir, that is in heuenes.” (Matt 23:9 Wycliffe Bible). Although it was Catholic corrupted it had enough Scripture to reach people with truth in a powerful way. In his book called “The English Bible” John Eadie notes that:

"Any attempt to translate from a Greek original at that period, had it been practicable, might have led to confusion and misunderstanding; for ignorance would have branded such a book as heretical and misleading, if it was found to differ in any way from the ecclesiastical text. The common people could not have appreciated these variations, and such prejudices would have been created against the new version as the priesthood could easily foster and spread. Yet the translation of the Latin Scriptures had been a first step to something higher, an intermediate gift to the nation. The effect had been like the first touch of the Blessed Hand upon its vision—'it saw men as trees walking;' and when at length the second touch passed over it, it looked up, and then it 'saw every man clearly'17" (Eadie, I, p. 101).

So the Latin Bible was translated to Middle English in 1380 giving the English speaking world their first look at Scripture in their own tongue. It had a profound effect though it was a translation of the Roman Catholic Latin Vulgate. Two major corruptions involved the substitution of 'penance' for 'repentance' and the substitution of a 'priesthood' for a 'presbyter.'

The tables below amply display these two corruptions from the Latin Vulgate in Wycliffe’s Bible translation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wycliffe's Translation and Corrupted Repentance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Holy Bible on Repentance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 3:2  And saying, <strong>Repent ye:</strong> for the kingdom of heaven is at hand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 3:8  Bring forth therefore fruits meet for <strong>repentance:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 3:11 I indeed baptize you with water unto <strong>repentance:</strong> but he that cometh after me is mightier than I, whose shoes I am not worthy to bear: he shall baptize you with the Holy Ghost, and with fire:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Holy Bible on Repentance</th>
<th>Wycliffe's Translation from The Vulgate substituting 'penance' for 'repentance'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mt 4:17 From that time Jesus began to preach, and to say, <strong>Repent</strong>: for the kingdom of heaven is at hand.</td>
<td>17 Fro that tyme Jhesus bigan to preche, and seie, Do ye <strong>penaunce</strong>, for the kyngeome of heuenes schal come niy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 9:13 But go ye and learn what that meaneth, I will have mercy, and not sacrifice: for I am not come to call the righteous, but sinners <strong>to repentance</strong>.</td>
<td>13 But go ye, and lerne what it is, Y wole merci, and not sacrifice; for I cam, not to clepe riytful men, but synful men. [OMISSION]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 11:20 Then began he to upbraid the cities wherein most of his mighty works were done, because they <strong>repented</strong> not:</td>
<td>20 Thanne Jhesus bigan to seye repreef to citees, in whiche ful manye vertues of him weren doon, for thei diden not <strong>penaunce</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 11:21 Woe unto thee, Chorazin! woe unto thee, Bethsaida! for if the mighty works, which were done in you, had been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have <strong>repented</strong> long ago in sackcloth and ashes.</td>
<td>21 Wo to thee! Corosaym, woo to thee! Bethsaida; for if the vertues that ben doon in you hadden be doon in Tyre and Sidon, sumtyme thei hadden don <strong>penaunce</strong> in heyre and aische.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 12:41 The men of Nineveh shall rise in judgment with this generation, and shall condemn it: because they <strong>repented</strong> at the preaching of Jonas; and, behold, a greater than Jonas is here.</td>
<td>41 Men of Nynyue schulen rise in doom with this generacioun, and schulen condempne it; for thei diden <strong>penaunce</strong> in the prechyng of Jonas, and lo! here a gretter than Jonas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 21:29 He answered and said, I will not: but afterward he <strong>repented</strong>, and went.</td>
<td>29 And he anweride, and seide, Y nyle; but afterward he <strong>forthouyte</strong>(^\text{18}), and wente forth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 21:32 For John came unto you in the way of righteousness, and ye believed him not: but the publicans and the harlots believed him: and ye, when ye had seen it, <strong>repented</strong> not afterward, that ye might believe him.</td>
<td>32 For Joon cam to you in the weie of riytwisnesse, and ye bileueden not to him; but pupplicans and hooris bileueden to hym. But ye sayn, and hadden no <strong>forthenkyng</strong>(^\text{19}) aftir, that ye bileueden to hym.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 27:3 Then Judas, which had betrayed him, when he saw that he was condemned, <strong>repented</strong> himself, and brought again the thirty pieces of silver to the chief priests and elders,</td>
<td>3 Thanne Judas that bitraiede hym, say that he was dampened, he <strong>repentide</strong>, and brouyte ayen the thretti pans to the princis of pretis, and to the elder men of the puple, [EXCEPTION 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 1:4 John did baptize in the wilderness, and preach the baptism of <strong>repentance</strong> for the remission of sins.</td>
<td>4 Joon was in desert baptisyng, and prechyne the baptym of <strong>penaunce</strong>, in to remissioun of synnes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^{18}\) The word forthouyte from Nobel's modernization of Wycliffe's Middle English means 'stirred by penance'  
Nobel, Terence P., WYCLIFFE'S NEW TESTAMENT Translated by JOHN WYCLIFFE and JOHN PURVEY, A modern-spelling edition of their 14th century Middle English translation, the first complete English vernacular version, with an Introduction by TERENCE P. NOBLE,(Editor and Publisher), Published by Terence P. Noble  
Copyright ©August 2001 by Terence P. Noble  

\(^{19}\) The word forthenkyng, from Nobel's modernization of Wycliffe's Middle English means 'had not penance afterward'
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Holy Bible on Repentance</th>
<th>Wycliffe's Translation from The Vulgate substituting 'penance' for 'repentance'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr 1:15 And saying, The time is fulfilled, and the kingdom of God is at hand: repent ye, and believe the gospel.</td>
<td>15 and seide, That the tyme is fulfillid, and the kyngdooom of God schal come nyy; do ye penaunce, and bileeue ye to the gospel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 2:17 When Jesus heard it, he saith unto them, They that are whole have no need of the physician, but they that are sick: I came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance.</td>
<td>17 Whanne this was herd, Jhesus seide to hem, Hoole men han no nede to a leche, but thei that ben yuel at eese; for Y cam not to clepe iust men, but synneris. [OMISSION]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 6:12 And they went out, and preached that men should repent.</td>
<td>12 And thei yeden forth, and prechiden, that men schulden do penaunce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 3:3 And he came into all the country about Jordan, preaching the baptism of repentance for the remission of sins;</td>
<td>3 And he cam in to al the cuntre of Jordan, and prechide baptym of penaunce in to remyssioun of synnes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 3:8 Bring forth therefore fruits worthy of repentance, and begin not to say within yourselves, We have Abraham to our father: for I say unto you, That God is able of these stones to raise up children unto Abraham.</td>
<td>8 Therfor do ye worthi fruytis of penaunce, and bigynne ye not to seie, We han a fadir Abraham; for Y seie to you, that God is myyti to reise of these stoonys the sones of Abraham.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 5:32 I came not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance.</td>
<td>32 for Y cam not to clepe iuste men, but synful men to penaunce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 15:7 I say unto you, that likewise joy shall be in heaven over one sinner that repenteth, more than over ninety and nine just persons, which need no repentance.</td>
<td>7 And Y seie to you, so ioye schal be in heuene on o synful man doynge penaunce, more than on nyni and nyne iuste, that han no nede to penaunce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 15:10 Likewise, I say unto you, there is joy in the presence of the angels of God over one sinner that repenteth.</td>
<td>10 So Y seie to you, ioye schal be bifor aungels of God on o synful man doyng penaunce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 16:30 And he said, Nay, father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the dead, they will repent.</td>
<td>30 And he seide, Nay, fadir Abraham, but if ony of deed men go to hem, thei schulen do penaunce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 17:3 Take heed to yourselves: If thy brother trespass against thee, rebuke him; and if he repent, forgive him.</td>
<td>3 Take ye hede you silf; if thi brothir hath synned ayens thee, blame hym; and if he do penaunce, foryyue hym.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 24:47 And that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in his name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem.</td>
<td>47 and penaunce and remyssioun of synnes to be prechid in his name `in to alle folkis, bigynnyngye at Jerusalem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 2:38 Then Peter said unto them, Repent, and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost.</td>
<td>38 And Petre seide to hem, Do ye penaunce, and eche of you be baptisid in the name of Jhesu Crist, in to remissioun of youre synnes; and ye schulen take the yifte of the Hooli Goost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Holy Bible on Repentance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Holy Bible on Repentance</th>
<th>Wycliffe's Translation from The Vulgate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ac 3:19 Repent ye therefore, and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out, when the times of refreshing shall come from the presence of the Lord;</td>
<td>19 Therfor be ye repentaunt, and be ye conuertid, that youre synnes be don awei, [EXCEPTION 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 8:22 Repent therefore of this thy wickedness, and pray God, if perhaps the thought of thine heart may be forgiven thee.</td>
<td>22 Therfor do thou penaunce for this wickidnesse of thee, and preie God, if perauneture this thouyt of thin herte be foryouun to thee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 11:18 When they heard these things, they held their peace, and glorified God, saying, Then hath God also to the Gentiles granted repentance unto life.</td>
<td>18 Whanne these thingis weren herd, thei helden pees, and glorifieden God, and seiden, Therfor also to hethene men God hath youun penaunce to lijf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 13:24 When John had first preached before his coming the baptism of repentance to all the people of Israel.</td>
<td>24 whanne Joon prechide bifor the face of his comyng the baptym of penaunce to al the puple of Israel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 17:30 And the times of this ignorance God winked at; but now commandeth all men every where to repent:</td>
<td>30 For God dispisith the tymes of this vnkunnyng, and now schewith to men, that alle eyery where doon penaunce; for that he hath ordeyned a dai,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 20:21 Testifying both to the Jews, and also to the Greeks, repentance toward God, and faith toward our Lord Jesus Christ.</td>
<td>21 and Y witnesside to Jewis and to hethene men penaunce in to God, and feith in to oure Lord Jhesu Crist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 26:20 But shewed first unto them of Damascus, and at Jerusalem, and throughout all the coasts of Judaea, and then to the Gentiles, that they should repent and turn to God, and do works meet for repentance.</td>
<td>20 but Y tolde to hem that been at Damask first, and at Jerusalem, and bi al the cuntrye of Judee, and to hethene men, that thei schulde do penaunce, and be conuertid to God, and do worthi werkis of penaunce.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sacramental system of Catholicism depends extensively on penance to the detriment of repentance. If one could repent and be saved from sin without works, as the Bible says, the whole Roman sacramental system would be in danger of collapse, ... it is, ... it needs be. This corruption of the text occurred when Jerome translated the Scripture into the Latin, or, in the vast changes made to his translation work upon his death. Penance promoted priest craft. With only two exceptions here in 31 verses penance is substituted for repentance, as a brazen corruption of the Roman Catholic Latin Vulgate, captured by Wycliffe's 14th century translation work.
Wycliffe's Translation and Corrupted Presbyterian

The corruption of the Greek word 'presbuteros' into the Roman Catholic priest was not as prevalent, but was just as brazen a corruption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Holy Bible on Presbyter (Elder)</th>
<th>Wycliffe's Translation from the Vulgate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Ti 5:17  Let the elders &lt;4245&gt; that rule well be counted worthy of double honour, especially they who labour in the word and doctrine.</td>
<td>17 The prescis that ben wel gouernoures, be thei had worthi to double onour; moost thei that trauelen in word and teching.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Ti 5:19  Against an elder &lt;4245&gt; receive not an accusation, but before two or three witnesses.</td>
<td>19 Nyle thou resseyue accusyng ayens a preest, but vndur twyne or thre witnessis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tit 1:5  For this cause left I thee in Crete, that thou shouldst set in order the things that are wanting, and ordain elders &lt;4245&gt; in every city, as I had appointed thee:</td>
<td>5 For cause of this thing Y lefte thee at Crete, that thou amende tho thingis that failen, and ordeyne preestis bi citees, as also Y disposide to thee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heb 11:2  For by it the elders &lt;4245&gt; obtained a good report.</td>
<td>2 And in this feith elde men han gete witnessyng.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jas 5:14  Is any sick among you? let him call for the elders &lt;4245&gt; of the church; and let them pray over him, anointing him with oil in the name of the Lord:</td>
<td>14 If ony of you is sijk, lede he in preestis of the chirche, and preie thei for hym, and anoynte with oile in the name of the Lord;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pe 5:1  The elders &lt;4245&gt; which are among you I exhort, who am also an elder, and a witness of the sufferings of Christ, and also a partaker of the glory that shall be revealed:</td>
<td>1 Therfor Y, an euene eldre man, and a witnesse of Cristis passiouns, which also am a comynere of that glorie, that schal be schewid in tyme to comynge; byseche ye the eldre men,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pe 5:5  Likewise, ye younger, submit yourselves unto the elder &lt;4245&gt;. Yea, all of you be subject one to another, and be clothed with humility: for God resisteth the proud, and giveth grace to the humble.</td>
<td>5 Also, ye yonge men, be ye suget to eldre men, and alle schewe ye togidere mekenesse; for the Lord withstondith proude men, but he yyueth grace to meke men.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is interesting that the online Bible program that has a version of Jerome's 405 A.D. Latin Vulgate, as posted in 2005 has all these verses properly translated, while the Latin Vulgate that Wycliffe translated from in the 1300s included priest craft in 4 of these 7 verses. The Latin Vulgate maintained by the Roman Catholics was dynamic not static. The corruptions are purposeful and some of their excursions into error were so brazen that they were later withdrawn under the less audacious supervision. This insight into these changes would make an interesting
study in itself. What is seen here is that Wycliffe caught them at their own craft of Scripture corruption, showing that the Latin Vulgate maintained at Oxford in the 1300s contained corruptions to promote Catholic priest craft. Despite their corruptions, the Latin Vulgate translated into the language of the English people was a powerful liberating force against the yoke of Roman bondage.
Wycliffe and the Pure Words of God

The holiness of the Word of God was understood better by John Wycliffe than it was by Brook Foss Westcott (1825-1903) and Fenton John Anthony Hort (1828-1892). These two eliminated words that did not 'ring true' to their ear and their ear did not believe in infallibility of Scripture, nor in the atoning work of the Lord Jesus Christ. Wycliffe knew not to add nor subtract from the words of God, for his translation read: De 4:2 “Ye schulen not adde to the word which Y speke to you, nether ye schulen take awei `fro it; kepe ye the comaundementis of youre Lord God, which Y comaunde to you.” and De 12:32 “Do thou to the Lord this thing oneli which Y comaunde to thee, nethir adde thou ony thing, nether abate.” Wycliffe knew the power of the Scripture, even when translating from Latin he records the purity of the words of Psalm 11(12):7 “The spechis of the Lord ben chast spechis; siluer examynyd bi fier, preued fro erthe, purgid seuen fold. 8 Thou, Lord, schalt kepe vs; and thou `schalt kepe vs fro this generacioun with outen ende.” John Wycliffe knew that God had magnified his truth above all things: Wycliffe Ps 137:2 Y schal worschipe to thin hooli temple, and Y schal knouleche to thi name. On thi merci and thi treuthe; for thou hast magnesied thin hooli name aboue al thing.

Below is a table of the 20 omissions of Westcott and Hort, the omissions that show up in the NIV and ASV, and any other modernist bible translated from their 'critical Greek text.' Included in the table are the verses from the Authorized Version and the Wycliffe Middle English translation of the Bible. Wycliffe, who translated Proverbs 30 in his Bible included the verse: “Ech word of God is a scheld set a fiere, to alle that hopen in hym. 6 Adde thou not ony thing to the wordis of hym, and thou be repreued, and be foundun a liere.” (Wycliffe Pr 30:5)
and was careful to capture 'ech word of God' in all his translation work. Would that the modernist were so careful to note their slanderous work and Wycliffe's careful translation of 20 verses in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holy Bible Common Text</th>
<th>NIV/ASV</th>
<th>Wycliffe Middle English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mt 17:21 Howbeit this kind goeth not out but by prayer and fasting.</td>
<td>Mt 17:21 [Omit]</td>
<td>20 but this kynde is not caste out, but bi preiyng and fastyng.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 18:11 For the Son of man is come to save that which was lost.</td>
<td>Mt 18:11 [Omit]</td>
<td>11 For mannus sone cam to saue that thing that perischide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt 23:14 Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye devour widows' houses, and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation.</td>
<td>Mt 23:14 [Omit]</td>
<td>13 But wo to you, scribis and Farisees, ipocritis, that closen the kyngdom of heuenes bfore men; and ye entren not, nether suffren men entreynge to entre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 7:16 If any man have ears to hear, let him hear.</td>
<td>Mr 7:16 [Omit]</td>
<td>16 If ony man haue eeries of hering, here he.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 9:44 Where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched.</td>
<td>Mr 9:44 [Omit]</td>
<td>44 And if thi foote solaundre thee, kitte it of; it is betere to thee to entre crokid in to euerlastynge lijf, than haue twei feet, and be sent in to helle of fier, that neuer schal be quenchid,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 9:46 Where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched.</td>
<td>Mr 9:46 [Omit]</td>
<td>46 That if thin iye solaundre thee, cast it out; it is betere to thee to entre gogil iyed in to the reume of God, than haue twey iyen, and be sent in to helle of fier, where the worme of hem dieth not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 11:26 But if ye do not forgive, neither will your Father which is in heaven forgive your trespasses.</td>
<td>Mr 11:26 [Omit]</td>
<td>26 And if ye foryyuen not, nether youre fadir that is in heuenes, schal foryyue to you youre synnes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr 15:28 And the scripture was fulfilled, which saith, And he was numbered with the transgressors.</td>
<td>Mr 15:28 [Omit]</td>
<td>28 And the scripture was fulfillid that seith, And he is ordeyned with wickid men.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 17:36 Two men shall be in the field; the one shall be taken, and the other left. (NOTE: This whole verse also errantly omitted from online Bible copy of TR; it is in the real TR, as it is in the KJB)</td>
<td>Lu 17:36 [Omit]</td>
<td>35 twei wymmen schulen be gryndyne togidir, <code>the toon schal be takun, and </code>the tother forsakun; twei in a feeld, <code>the toon schal be takun, and </code>the tother left.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 23:17 (For of necessity he must release one unto them at the feast.)</td>
<td>Lu 23:17 [Omit]</td>
<td>17 But he moste nede delyuer to hem oon bi the feest dai.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joh 5:4 For an angel went down at a certain season into the pool, and troubled the water: whosoever then first after the troubling of the water stepped in was made whole of whatsoever disease he had.</td>
<td>Joh 5:4 [Omit]</td>
<td>4 For the aungel `of the Lord cam doun certeyne tymes in to the watir, and the watir was moued; and he that first cam doun in to the siterse, affir the mouyne of the watir, was maad hoole of what euer sijkness he was holdun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac 8:37 And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I</td>
<td>Ac 8:37 [Omit]</td>
<td>37 And Filip seide, If thou bileuest of al the herte, it is leueful. And he anseride, and seide, Y bileue that Jhesu Crist is the sone of God.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows the Latin Vulgate omitting Matt 23:14 but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this verse.
21 The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting John 5:4 but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this verse.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holy Bible Common Text</th>
<th>NIV/ASV</th>
<th>Wycliffe Middle English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ac 15:34, 24:7, 28:29</td>
<td>Notwithstanding it pleased Silas to abide there still. But the chief captain Lysias came upon us, and with great violence took him away out of our hands. And when he had said these words, the Jews departed, and had great reasoning among themselves.</td>
<td>Ac 15:34 [Omit] Ac 24:7 [Omit] Ac 28:29 [Omit] Notwithstanding it pleased Silas to abide there still. But it was seyn to Silas, to dwelle there; and Judas wente aloone to Jerusalem. But Lisias, the trybune, cam with greet strengthe aboue, and delyuerede hym fro oure hoondis; And whanne he hadde seid these thingis, Jewis wenten out fro hym, and hadden myche questioun, ethir musyng, among hem siff.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These verses speak for themselves when Westcott and Hort, Nestle and Aland, Lockman and Metzger scratch them from their Bibles but John Wycliffe of the 1300s assures of their presence in his Middle English Bible translation. Attention is given to 1John 5:7 called the Trinitarian Proof Text commonly called the Johanne Comma, but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this key verse of Scripture.

The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting Acts 8:37,15:34,24:7, and 28:29 but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes these verses.

The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting Rom 16:24 but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this verse.

The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting 1John 5:7, the Trinitarian Proof Text commonly called the Johanne Comma, but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this key verse of Scripture.

The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting the phrase “through His blood” in Col 1:14 and Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 did indeed leave this off.

The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting Luke 9:56 but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this verse.

Lockman Foundation holds the 1960, 62, 63, 68, 71, 72 and 1973 copyright on the NASV

'Johanne Comma.' It is errantly taught that Erasmus added this without cause, but John Wycliffe knew it's place in the Holy Scriptures 200 years earlier. John Wycliffe knew also that Matt 24:35 said "heuene and erthe schulen passe, but my wordis schulen not passe." and he knew the Judge that gave these words from Joh 12:48 "He that dispisith me, and takith not my wordis, hath hym that schal iuge hym; thilke word that Y haue spokun, schal deme hym in the last dai." This 'trinity proof text' was first translated into the English language by John Wycliffe in the 1300s. How unfortunate and asinine that it is eliminated from all the modernist English translations.

The Wycliffe English Bible as translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 contained 19 of these 20 verse omissions brazenly torn from the modernist Bibles translated from the Westcott and Hort Critical Greek text. The Nestle-Aland Greek apparatus indicated that some copies of the Latin Vulgate supported 11 of the 20 rip-torn omissions. But 10 of these 11 were indeed found in The Wycliffe English Bible, translated from the 14th century Latin Vulgate. The only omission that Wycliffe indicated from the his Oxford version of the Vulgate of the 1300s was for the phrase “through His blood” from Colossians 1:14, and that verse is supported by some later copies of the Latin Vulgate itself, four Greek manuscripts, five Lectionaries, Syriac, Armenian and Slavonic versions, and Early (so called) Church Fathers Gregory of Nyssa; Victorinus of Rome and Sassiodrus. All in all a very good showing of the work of John Wycliffe refuting the bias textual criticism of modernist Westcott and Hort, Nestle and Aland, Lockman and Metzger, and their slanderous omissions of these 20 verses.

Not only did Wycliffe include the trinitarian proof text, (1John 5:7) he clearly stated Phillipians 2:9-10 "For which thing God enhaunside hym, and yaf to hym a name that is aboue al name; 10 that in the name of Jhesu ech kne be bowid, of heuenli thingis, of ertheli thingis, and
of hellis;” Even as Timothy knew the Scriptures from his youth John Wycliffe knew from his youth 2Timothy 3:15-16 “15 for thou hast knowun hooli lettris fro thi youthe, whiche moun lerne thee to heeltie, bi feith that is in Crist Jhesu. 16 For al scripture insiprid of God is profitable to teche, to repreue, to chastice, to lerne in riytwisnes, that the man of God be parfit, lerud to al good werk.” And John Wycliffe knew better than Westcott and Hort the dangers of removing Scriptures from the Holy Bible for his translation closes with “And I witnesse to ech man herynge the wordis of prophesie of this book, if ony man schal putte to these thingis, God schal putte on hym the veniauncis writun in this book. 19 And if ony man do awei of the wordis of the book of this prophesie, God schal take awei the part of hym fro the book of lijf, and fro the hooli citee, and fro these thingis that ben writun in this book. 20 He seith, that berith witnessyng of these thingis, Yhe, amen. I come soone. Amen. Come thou, Lord Jhesu. 21 The grace of oure Lord Jhesu Crist be with you alle. Amen.” (Rev 22:18-21)
Conclusion

In this brief excursion through the first English translation of the Holy Bible it is seen that every word of God is pure and precious. John Wycliffe translated from a corrupt Roman Catholic Latin Vulgate version of the Holy Scriptures but his work in middle English of the 1300s shows more care for the infallible, inerrant, inspired words of God than the leading modernist scholars who tote along after faulty textual criticism, Alexandrian Gnostic corruptions, depraved Unitarian theology, and decrepit modernist thinking. Some Roman Catholic corruptions are present in this middle English translation, but the very readable translation work of John Wycliffe is well worth the effort of securing the free copy and gleaning the truths of God in the broken awkward spellings of this archaic English Bible. It is more accurate than the modernist of English translations which spring from the modernist minds of man. A copy can be downloaded from http://wesley.nnu.edu/biblical_studies/wycliffe It is well worth the study in ones study.
NOTES
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24 The Nestle Aland Greek apparatus shows Latin Vulgate copies omitting 1John 5:7, the Trinitarian Proof Text commonly called the Johanne Comma, but Wycliffe's English Bible translated from the Latin Vulgate in 1380 includes this key verse of Scripture.
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